RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Systematics of Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa with the description of a new species and comments on the taxonomy of Trachycephalus typhonius (Anura, Hylidae)

Santiago R. Ron<sup>1</sup>, Pablo J. Venegas<sup>1,2</sup>, H. Mauricio Ortega-Andrade<sup>3,7,8</sup>, Giussepe Gagliardi-Urrutia<sup>4,5</sup>, Patricia E. Salerno<sup>6</sup>

I Museo de Zoología, Escuela de Biología, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Av. 12 de Octubre y Roca, Aptdo. 17-01-2184, Quito, Ecuador 2 División de Herpetología-Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad (CORBIDI), Santa Rita N°105 Of. 202, Urb. Huertos de San Antonio, Surco, Lima, Perú 3 Laboratorio de Biogeografía, Red de Biología Evolutiva, Instituto de Ecología A.C., Carretera antigua a Coatepec 351, El Haya, CP 91070, Xalapa, Veracruz, México 4 Programa de Investigación en Biodiversidad Amazónica, Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonia Peruana (IIAP), Av. Quiñones Km 2.5, Iquitos, Perú 5 Current Address: Laboratório de Sistemática de Vertebrados, Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul-PUCRS. Av. Ipiranga, 6681, Porto Alegre, RS 90619-900, Brazil 6 Department of Biology, Colorado State University, 1878 Campus Delivery, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA 7 Current Address: IKIAM, Universidad Regional Amazónica, km 7 vía Muyuna, Tena, Ecuador 8 Museo Ecuatoriano de Ciencias Naturales, Sección de Vertebrados, División de Herpetología, calle Rumipamba 341 y Av. de los Shyris, Quito, Ecuador

Corresponding author: Santiago R. Ron (santiago.r.ron@gmail.com)

Academic editor: A. Crottini | Received 24 May 2016 | Accepted 4 October 2016 | Published 9 November 2016 http://zoobank.org/D6C6DD91-17F9-4ED5-B652-879FE56697D4

**Citation:** Ron SR, Venegas1 PJ, Ortega-Andrade HM, Gagliardi-Urrutia G, Salerno P (2016) Systematics of *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* with the description of a new species and comments on the taxonomy of *Trachycephalus typhonius* (Anura, Hylidae). ZooKeys 630: 115–154. doi: 10.3897/zooKeys.630.9298

#### Abstract

*Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* is an Amazonian hylid of uncertain phylogenetic position. Herein DNA sequences of mitochondrial and nuclear genes are used to determine its phylogenetic relationships. New sequences and external morphology of *Trachycephalus typhonius* are also analyzed to assess the status of Ecuadorian and Peruvian populations. The phylogeny shows unequivocally that *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* is nested within the genus *Tepuihyla*, tribe Lophiohylini. This position was unexpected because the remaining species of *Ecnomiohyla* belong to the tribe Hylini. To solve the paraphyly of the genus *Ecnomiohyla*, *E. tuberculosa* is transferred to the genus *Tepuihyla*. Comparisons of DNA sequences, external morphology, and advertisement calls between populations of *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* from Ecuador and Peru indicate that the Peruvian population represents an undescribed species. The new species is described and a species

Copyright Santiago R. Ron et al.. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

account is provided for *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa*. *Trachycephalus typhonius* is paraphyletic relative to *T. cu-nauaru*, *T. hadroceps*, and *T. resinifictrix*. The phylogenetic position of populations from western Ecuador indicates that they represent a species separate from *T. typhonius sensu stricto*. We resurrect the name *Hyla quadrangulum* (*Trachycephalus quadrangulum* **comb. n.**) for those populations. Amazonian populations of "*T. typhonius*" from Ecuador and Peru are genetically and morphologically distinct from *T. typhonius sensu stricto* and are conspecific with the holotype of *Hyla macrotis*. Therefore, we also resurrect *Hyla macrotis*, a decision that results in *Trachycephalus macrotis* **comb. n.** 

#### **Keywords**

Advertisement call, Amazon basin, biodiversity, Ecuador, Lophiohylini, Peru, phylogeny, Tepuihyla

# Introduction

Fringe-limbed frogs, genus *Ecnomiohyla* Faivovich et al. 2005, are a group of 14 species distributed in Central America, the Chocó region of Colombia and Ecuador and the Amazon Basin (Batista et al. 2014). Their morphology is distinctive and characterized by large size, proportionally enlarged hands and feet, extensive webbing between fingers, moss-like dorsal coloration, and dermal fringes on the limbs (Batista et al. 2014; Savage and Kubicki 2010). These canopy dwellers that breed on phytotelmata and are rarely found in the lower forest strata (Batista et al. 2014; Mendelson et al. 2008) are scarce in scientific collections with few specimens known for some species.

One of the most enigmatic members of the genus is *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* (Boulenger 1882), a species only known from the holotype until the work of Duellman (1974). Its occurrence has been documented at scattered localities along the upper Amazon basin of Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru (Frost 2014). *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* was grouped with other species of *Ecnomiohyla* by Firschein and Smith (1956) and Duellman (1961; 1970) under the "*Hyla tuberculosa*" group. Based on those assignments, Faivovich et al. (2005) included it tentatively in the genus *Ecnomiohyla*. Mendelson et al. (2008) and Savage and Kubicki (2010), based on morphological characters, suggested that *E. tuberculosa* was not a member of *Ecnomiohyla*. Neither publication proposed an alternative phylogenetic position for *E. tuberculosa* and they considered it *insertae sedis*. Nevertheless, Batista et al. (2014) maintained the binomial *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* and noted that additional information was needed to determine its generic position. Recently, Duellman et al. (2016) placed *E. tuberculosa* in the genus *Hypsiboas*. We do not follow that assignment because it lacked justification.

Another enigmatic Neotropical hylid is *Trachycephalus typhonius* (Linnaeus 1758). Its identity was recently defined by Lavilla et al. (2010). Previously this species was referred as "*Trachycephalus venulosus*" or "*Phrynohyas venulosa*" (e.g., Duellman 1970; 1971; Faivovich et al. 2005). According to its current definition, *T. typhonius* is distributed from southern México to northern Argentina and includes populations in the Guianan region, Amazon Basin, and Chocó (Lavilla et al. 2010). Several authors have reported considerable morphological variation that suggests that it may represent

a species complex (e.g., Savage 2002). The existence of at least two species is also suggested by its biogeography. *Trachycephalus typhonius* occurs in the lowlands east and west of the Andes of Ecuador, an unusual pattern only seen in 3 out of 174 species of amphibians: *Hypsiboas boans, Rhinella marina* and *T. typhonius*. Because the Andes are a formidable barrier to dispersal, this distribution is likely a artifact of incorrect delimitation of species boundaries (dos Santos et al. 2015). The existence of separate species on both sides of the Andes in *T. typhonius* is supported by unambiguous morphological differences between both distribution ranges (Ron and Read 2011). Genetic data could conclusively define the status of trans-Andean populations of *T. typhonius*.

Fieldwork in Ecuador and Peru has resulted in the collection of additional specimens and genetic samples of *E. tuberculosa* and *T. typhonius*. Based on new specimens of *E. tuberculosa*, we infer its phylogenetic position and also describe its calling behavior. We show that a distinctive population from Amazonian Peru represents an undescribed species, which we describe here. Finally, we take advantage of the new phylogenetic analysis to include samples of *Trachycephalus typhonius* from Ecuador and Peru and determine their taxonomic status. We show that those populations represent two valid species currently considered junior synonyms of *Trachycephalus typhonius*.

#### Materials and methods

#### Nomenclature

Generic names follow Faivovich et al. (2005) and AmphibiaWeb (2016).

# DNA extraction amplification and sequencing

DNA was extracted from muscle or liver tissue preserved in 95% ethanol or tissue storage buffer, using standard phenol–chloroform extraction protocols (Sambrook et al. 1989). We used a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify DNA fragments for mitochondrial genes 12S rRNA (12S), Cytochrome Oxidase sub-unit I (COI), two overlapping fragments for the last ~320 bp of 16S rRNA (16S), NADH dehydrogenase subunit 1 (ND1) and adjacent tRNAs (tRNA<sup>Leu</sup>, tRNA<sup>Ile</sup> and tRNA<sup>Gln</sup>), and the nuclear gene POMC, using the primers listed in Goebel et al. (1999), Moen and Wiens (2009) and Wiens et al. (2005). PCR amplification was performed under standard protocols and sequenced by the Macrogen Sequencing Team (Macrogen Inc., Seoul, Korea). The combined DNA matrix had up to 3792 bp.

The newly generated DNA sequences are available on GenBank under accession numbers listed on Table 1. To optimize taxon sampling within Hylidae, we blasted our *E. tuberculosa* 12S and ND1 sequences to the GenBank database (blastn procedure). These searches shown unequivocally that the most similar samples belonged

| Voucher          | Species                     | 125      | 16S-ND1  | COI      | РОМС     | GenSeq<br>Nomenclature |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------|
| CORBIDI<br>12513 | Tepuihyla shushupe          | KY013372 | KY013419 | KY013396 | -        | genseq-1               |
| QCAZ 52855       | Tepuihyla tuberculosa       | KY013373 | KY013417 | -        | -        | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 53147       | Tepuihyla tuberculosa       | KY013374 | KY013416 | -        | -        | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 53542       | Tepuihyla tuberculosa       | KY013375 | KY013418 | KY013415 | -        | genseq-4               |
| 105BM            | Trachycephalus coriaceus    | KY013376 | KY013420 | -        | -        | -                      |
| QCAZ 19305       | Trachycephalus cunauaru     | KY013388 | KY013440 | KY013395 | KY013462 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 20808       | Trachycephalus cunauaru     | -        | KY013443 | KY013398 | KY013465 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 20809       | Trachycephalus cunauaru     | KY013389 | KY013441 | -        | KY013463 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 46436       | Trachycephalus cunauaru     | KY013390 | KY013442 | KY013413 | KY013464 | genseq-4               |
| CORBIDI 9556     | Trachycephalus macrotis     | KY013392 | -        | -        | -        | genseq-4               |
| CORBIDI 9559     | Trachycephalus macrotis     | KY013393 | -        | -        | -        | genseq-4               |
| CORBIDI 9560     | Trachycephalus macrotis     | KY013394 | KY013430 | -        | -        | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 18198       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | -        | KY013428 | KY013397 | KY013451 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 21283       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | -        | KY013421 | KY013400 | KY013445 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 23997       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | -        | KY013422 | -        | KY013444 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 32492       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | -        | KY013426 | KY013405 | KY013449 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 38075       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | KY013380 | KY013427 | KY013406 | KY013450 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 38774       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | KY013377 | KY013423 | KY013407 | KY013446 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 39565       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | KY013378 | KY013424 | KY013409 | KY013447 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 43017       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | KY013379 | KY013425 | KY013412 | KY013448 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 47319       | Trachycephalus macrotis     | KY013381 | KY013429 | KY013414 | KY013452 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 21282       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | -        | KY013439 | KY013399 | KY013461 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 23472       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | -        | KY013438 | KY013401 | KY013460 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 23485       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | KY013387 | KY013437 | KY013402 | KY013459 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 28530       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | KY013383 | KY013432 | KY013403 | KY013454 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 31271       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | KY013382 | KY013431 | KY013404 | KY013453 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 38205       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | -        | KY013434 | -        | KY013456 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 39360       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | KY013385 | KY013435 | KY013408 | KY013457 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 39782       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | KY013386 | KY013436 | KY013410 | KY013458 | genseq-4               |
| QCAZ 40219       | Trachycephalus quadrangulum | KY013384 | KY013433 | KY013411 | KY013455 | genseq-4               |
| 163MC            | Trachycephalus typhonius    | KY013391 | -        | -        |          | -                      |

Table 1. New sequences generated for the phylogenetic analysis.

to the genera *Osteocephalus* and *Tepuihyla*, tribe Lophiohylini. For example, a blastn for the ND1 sequence of *E. tuberculosa* QCAZ 53542 retrieved 50 most similar sequences with identity values ranging from 82.7% to 89.1%. All 50 sequences be-

longed to the Lophiohylini tribe (*Corythomantis*, *Osteocephalus*, *Osteopilus*, *Tepuihyla*, and *Trachycephalus*). To confirm the close relationships between *E. tuberculosa* and *Tepuihyla*, we carried out an additional exploratory analysis based on Pyron (2014) matrix for the genes 12S and 16S. We included our sequences as well as those from all species of the tribes Hylini (including *Ecnomiohyla*) and Lophiohylini from Pyron (2014) matrix. We also included several species of *Agalychnis*, *Litoria* and *Phyllomedusa* as outgroups. The final matrix had 169 terminals. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis using GARLI 2.0 (Zwickl 2006) confirmed with strong support that *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* is not closely related to other *Ecnomiohyla*. Instead, it is a member of the tribe Lophiohylini and is nested within *Tepuihyla*. The phylogeny based on Pyron (2014) matrix is available as Suppl. material 1.

For final phylogenetic analysis we included GenBank sequences from all available species of Lophiohylini as well as sequences from representative species of all other tribes within Hylinae (Cophomantini, Dendropsophini, and Hylini). GenBank sequences were originally published by Darst and Cannatella (2004), Faivovich et al. (2005), Salducci et al. (2005), Wiens et al. (2005), Moen and Wiens (2009), Moravec et al. (2009), Salerno et al. (2012), Jungfer et al. (2013), and Salerno et al. (2015). Samples of *Phyllomedusinae* were included as outgroups (*Agalychnis spurrelli, Phyllomedusa tomopterna*, and *Phyllomedusa perinesos*). We also added new sequences from 25 individuals of Ecuadorian and Peruvian *Trachycephalus* to assess their taxonomic status.

Preliminary sequence alignment was done with MAFFT 7.2 software with the L-INS-i algorithm (Katoh and Standley 2013). All sequences in the matrix were visually examined and translated in MESQUITE (version 3.01; Maddison and Maddison 2014). The matrix was partitioned to allow independent inferences of models of evolution by gene and by codon position in coding genes. We used software PARTITION-FINDER v. 1.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012) to simultaneously estimate both the best-fit model for each partition and the best partition strategy for our data.

#### Phylogeny

Phylogenetic trees were obtained using maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference. Maximum likelihood searches were carried out with software GARLI 2.0 (Zwickl 2006). We made two independent searches with 10 replicates each. The first search started with random trees and the second with stepwise addition trees. We modified the settings for the number of generations without topology improvement required for termination (genthreshfortopoterm = 200000) and the maximum range for localized SPR topology changes (limsprrange = 10) to increase exhaustiveness of the tree space search. Other settings were set on default values. Node support was assessed with 200 pseudoreplicate non-parametric bootstraps (npb), configured with the same settings of the full search, but with three replicates per run. Bayesian inference was performed with MARBAYES 3.2.1 (Ronquist et al. 2012). The analysis consisted of four parallel runs of the Metropolis-coupled Monte Carlo Markov chain for  $5 \times 10^6$  generations; each run had six chains with a temperature of 0.08. We used TRACER 1.6 (Rambaut et al. 2014) to assess convergence and stationarity of the runs and to obtain effective sample sizes (ESS) for all model parameters. The search was considered finished when all ESS were > 200. Each run was sampled every 1000 generations. The first 25% of the samples was discarded as "burn-in", using the remaining samples to estimate the Bayesian tree, posterior probabilities (pp) and other model parameters.

#### Morphology

Diagnostic characters and comparisons are based on specimens from the following institutions. Ecuador: Fundación Herpetológica Gustavo Orcés, Quito (FHGO); Museo de Zoología at Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Quito (QCAZ). Peru: Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad, Lima (CORBIDI); Colección Referencial de Biodiversidad del Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonía Peruana, Iquitos (IIAP). United States of America: American Museum of Natural History, New York, (AMNH); National Museum of Natural History, Washington DC (USNM); Natural History Museum at the University of Kansas, Lawrence (KU). United Kingdom: Natural History Museum, London (BMNH). Venezuela: Museo de Historia Natural La Salle, Caracas (MHNLS). Our comparisons included the revision of the holotype of Hyla tuberculosa (Natural History Museum, London, BMNH 1947.2.13.34) and photographs of the holotype of Hyla macrotis (Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, NRM 1958). Examined specimens are listed as Suppl. material 2. Character definitions follow Duellman (1970) and Luna et al. (2012) for nuptial excrescences. Notation for hand and foot webbing is based on Myers and Duellman (1982). Sex was determined by presence of nuptial pads or vocal slits, and by gonadal inspection. Descriptions of coloration in life are based on digital photographs. Adults were measured with digital calipers for the following eight morphological variables, following Duellman (1970): (1) SVL; (2) head length; (3) head width; (4) tympanum diameter; (5) femur length; (6) tibia length; (7) foot length; and (8) eye diameter. Additionally, we measured the hand length (HAL) from proximal edge of palmar tubercle to tip of third finger for the new species described herein following Batista et al. (2014).

Morphometric analyses were performed based on measurements of adult males (number of specimens in parenthesis): *E. tuberculosa* (5), *T. shushupe* sp. n. (1), *T. edelcae* (17), *T. obscura* (17), and *T. rodriguezi* (14; see Suppl. material 2). We did a log<sub>10</sub> transformation of the dataset, a common practice in morphometric analyses due to the multiplicative nature of allometric growth and to make measurement variances comparable. A principal components analysis was later performed on the data. We used

Principal Component 1 as a proxy for size and its effects, given that most variation is due to size and size effect differences. Other principal components were interpreted as a proxy for shape (Bookstein 1989). We also performed a Discriminant Analysis with species as group priors, in order to determine if they can be diagnosed from each other with morphometric characters.

#### **Bioacoustics**

Calls were analyzed from two adult males, QCAZ 53699 from Juyuintza, Pastaza province, Ecuador (2.110°S, 76.190°S, 200 m of elevation) and CORBIDI 12513 from Ere River, Putumayo Basin, Loreto Department, Peru (1.67903°S, 73.7197°W, 145 m). Male QCAZ 53699 was recorded at night on 22 June 2012 (23.9°C / relative humidity ~86.0%). Male CORBIDI 12513 was recorded at night of 18 October 2012 (21.3°C / relative humidity ~95.8%). Recordings were saved in PCM format, with a sample rate of 48000 Hz and 24-bits. Call variables were measured with RAVEN PRO 1.4 (Charif et al. 2010), under a Hanning function, 2048 DFT sample size and a grid spacing of 23.4 Hz. After a preliminary screening of the sound spectrogram, we clipped values below 90.0 db and applied a filter band-pass between 350 and 2420 Hz, to reduce background noise and facilitate the measurement of the acoustic parameters. Fourteen acoustic parameters (modified from Cocroft and Ryan 1995) were measured to describe the structure of each call: (1) Call duration = time from beginning to end of one call, measured from waveform analyzer screen; (2) Call rate = number of calls per minute; (3) Call interval = time from the end of the call to the beginning of the next call; (4) Call rise time = time from beginning of call to point of maximum amplitude; (5) Notes per call = number of notes per call; (6) Note rate = (number of notes-1)/time from beginning of first note to beginning of last note; (7) Note length = average time from beginning to end of a note; (8) Note rise time = time from beginning of note to point of maximum amplitude; (9) Note shape = note rise time/note length; (10) Frequency band = difference between the upper and lower frequencies measured along the entire call; (11) Fundamental frequency = frequency with the highest energy on the 1st harmonic in the call; (12) Dominant frequency = frequency with the highest energy along the entire call. In order to analyze the modulation of dominant frequency, we divided the call in three sections corresponding to the beginning, the middle and the end of the call; (13) Fundamental frequency ratio = number of notes with the dominant frequency in the first harmonic/total number of notes; and (14) Frequency modulation = (frequency with the most energy at end of call – frequency with the most energy at beginning of call)/call duration; values equal or near 0 represent calls lacking frequency modulation. Recordings are deposited in the Sound Archive of Museo de Zoología of Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador (available at AmphibiaWebEcuador website, http://zoologia.puce.edu.ec/vertebrados/anfibios/).

# Results

## Phylogeny

The Maximum Likelihood and the Bayesian analyses yielded similar topologies with differences pertaining only to weakly supported nodes. The phylogeny shows strong support for the inclusion of *E. tuberculosa* within the tribe Lophiohylini, genus *Tepuihyla* Ayarzagüena et al. 1993 "1992" (Fig. 1B). *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* is sister to all species of *Tepuihyla*, except *T. warreni* from Ayanganna, Guyana. *Tepuihyla warreni* is polyphyletic because a sample from the Maringma Tepui, Guyana, clusters with *T. aecii, T. edelcae, T. obscura*, and *T. rodriguezi* instead of the other samples of *T. warreni* (Fig. 1A). The distance of the type locality of *T. warreni* from Ayanganna is approximately 90 km and from Maringma is 15 km. These distances suggest that the Ayanganna population represents an undescribed species.

There is strong support for the tribe Lophiohylini. However, the relationships between basal clades within Lophiohylini are weakly supported. Within *Trachycephalus*, the recently described *T. cunauaru* is sister to *T. hadroceps. Trachycephalus typhonius* (previously referred as "*T. venulosus*") is widely paraphyletic. The new sequences of *T. typhonius* from the Ecuadorian Chocó are sister to a clade composed of *T. cunauaru*, *T. hadroceps, T. resinifictrix, T. typhonius* from Guyana, French Guiana, Venezuela, and the upper Amazon basin in Ecuador and Peru. Populations of *T. typhonius* from Amazonian Peru and Ecuador are sister to a clade composed of *T. resinifictrix* and one sample of *T. typhonius* from Venezuela (Fig. 1A).

As in previous phylogenies (e.g., Pyron 2014) we found a clade composed by *Dry-aderces* + *Tepuihyla* + *Osteocephalus*. Two samples of *Dryaderces* do not cluster together. This is likely a result of the use of short and non-overlapping sequences between both samples. Therefore, our results do not challenge the monophyly of *Dryaderces*.

#### Morphometry

The first Principal Component, a proxy for size covariation, explains 97% of the variance and has very similar proportions for all variables (Table 2). The second Principal Component is mostly made up of Eye Diameter, but the variance seems to be higher within rather than among groups (Fig. 2). The third principal component is mainly composed of Tympanum Diameter.

Morphometric analyses do not show differences in shape among species (Fig. 2). The only morphometric difference pertains to size (Principal Component 1; not shown) as *E. tuberculosa* is much larger than the other species. The Discriminant Function Analysis graph (not shown) is similar to the PCA in not showing interspecific differences. Group assignment proportions are all very low (between 0.02–0.31), indicating very little power of morphometric discrimination among groups, even when attempting to maximize differences with species/lineage priors.



**Figure 1.** Maximum likelihood phylogram showing the position of *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. and *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. within Hylidae. Phylogram derived from analysis of 3792 bp of mitochondrial (gene fragments *12S*, *16S*, *ND1*, *CO1*, *tRNA*<sup>*Leu*</sup>, *tRNA*<sup>*Ile*</sup>, *tRNA*<sup>*Gh*</sup>) and nuclear DNA (POM-C). Voucher no. (or, if unavailable, GenBank accession no.) is shown for each sample. Clade posterior probabilities (pp × 100) resulting from Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo searches appear above branches in blue. Non-parametric bootstrap (npb) support values, from 200 pseudoreplicates, are shown below. Asterisks represent values of 100. Outgroups are not shown. Abbreviations are: BR = Brazil, EC = Ecuador, FG = French Guiana, GU = Guyana, PE = Peru, VE = Venezuela

#### Comparisons between populations of Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa

The four samples of *E. tuberculosa* form three well-supported clades (pp/npb = 100). The sample from Ere River, Peru, is sister to the Yasuní + Juyuintza in Ecuador. The Juyuintza samples are sister to each other. All genetic distances reported below are uncorrected distances for the gene *12S*. Genetic distances between Ere River, Peru, and the Ecuadorian populations (Juyuintza and Yasuní) range from 5.3 to 5.9%; distances between Yasuní and Juyuintza are both 1.2%. Samples from Juyuintza are identical to each other. The genetic distances between Ere River and the other populations are above distances between several closely related species in *Tepuihyla* and *Osteocephalus* (e.g., *O. buckleyi-O. cabrerai* 2.8%, *O. oophagus-O. taurinus* 1.3–1.8%, *O. cannatellai-O. cabrerai* 2.6%, *O. cannatellai-O. vilmae* 2.4%, *T. rodriguezi-T. exophthalma* 4.5–4.6%, *T. rodriguezi-T. edelcae* 1.3–1.5%).



Figure 1. Continue.

Morphologically, the individual from Ere River differs from all other populations (in parenthesis) in having a cream iris with red periphery (entirely cream to reddish cream; Fig. 3G vs. 3H) and dorsum with small tubercles intermixed with abundant large tubercles (small tubercles intermixed with few large tubercles; Fig. 4A vs. 4C and 5). We found differences in advertisement calls between Río Ere and Juyuintza. Calls consist of a cackle of short notes repeated at a fast rate (Table 3; Fig. 6). Note amplitude and note rate increases markedly along the first half of the call. The call from Juyuintza differs from the call from Ere River in temporal and spectral variables. The former has shorter duration, fewer notes, and lower note rate (Ere River 3.37-3.38,  $3.37 \pm 0.01$ ; Juyuintza 2.63-2.86,  $2.74 \pm 0.16$ ; Table 3). Harmonic structure is markedly different between calls. The call from Ere River has

**Table 2.** Character loadings and cumulative proportion of variance for Principal Components. The analysis was based on eight morphometric variables of adult males of *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n., *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n., *Tepuihyla edelcae, Tepuihyla obscura,* and *Tepuihyla rodriguezi*. PCs 6–8 not shown. Abbreviations are: SVL = snout-vent length; FOOT = foot length; HL = head length; HW = head width; ED = eye diameter; TD = tympanum diameter; TL = tibia length; FL = femur length.

|                     | PC1    | PC2    | PC3    | PC4    | PC5    |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Cumulative variance | 0.978  | 0.987  | 0.996  | 0.997  | 0.998  |
| SVL                 | -0.371 | 0.052  | -0.117 | 0.079  | -0.302 |
| FOOT                | -0.421 | 0.221  | 0.267  | -0.413 | 0.707  |
| HL                  | -0.329 | 0.054  | 0.078  | 0.417  | -0.072 |
| HW                  | -0.379 | 0.000  | -0.008 | 0.643  | 0.204  |
| ED                  | -0.323 | -0.898 | 0.205  | -0.186 | -0.082 |
| TD                  | -0.272 | -0.074 | -0.912 | -0.176 | 0.137  |
| TL                  | -0.393 | 0.229  | 0.168  | 0.005  | -0.197 |
| FL                  | -0.316 | 0.284  | 0.076  | -0.412 | -0.545 |



**Figure 2.** Principal Components 2 and 3 from analysis of eight morphological variables. See Table 2 for character loadings on each component.

two harmonics with similar energy content while the call from Juyuintza has one well-defined harmonic with high energy and a second harmonic with low energy, barely evident in the power spectra (Fig. 6).

**Table 3.** Quantitative and qualitative characteristics of the advertisement call of *Tepuihyla* from Ecuador and Peru. The recording in Peru was made in the Loreto Department, Putumayo River basin, Rio Ere on 18 October 2012, air temperature of 21.3 C. The recording in Ecuador was made in Pastaza Province, Juyuintza on 22 June 2012, air temperature 23.9 C.

|                                       | <i>Tepuihyla shushupe</i> sp. n.<br>(CORBIDI 12513) | <i>Tepuihyla tuberculosa</i> comb. n.<br>(QCAZ 53699) |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Calls analyzed (specimens)            | 3(1)  | 2 (1)   |
| Call duration (s)                     | 16.4–17.2 (16.7±0.47)                               | 12.2–13.1   |
| Call rate (calls/minute)              | 0.45  | 0.37  |
| Call interval (s)                     | 117.024   | ~150  |
| Call rise time (s)                    | 12.7–15.7 (13.74±1.69)                              | 6.96–11.8   |
| Notes per call (total notes analyzed) | 56–59 (171)   | 35 (70)   |
| Note rate (average ± sd)              | 3.37-3.38 (3.37±0.01)                               | 2.63-2.86 (2.74±0.16)                                 |
| Note length (average ± sd)            | 0.08-0.26 (0.13±0.04)                               | 0.17-0.43 (0.24±0.05)                                 |
| Note rise time (average ± sd)         | 0.02-0.14 (0.06±0.03)                               | 0.05-0.23 (0.1±0.03)                                  |
| Note shape                            | 0.2-0.94 (0.44±0.13)                                | 0.19-0.98 (0.43±0.13)                                 |
| Frequency band                        | 96.9–5102.9   | 150.7–2452.1  |
| Fundamental frequency                 | 404.3–585.9 Hz (500.08±28.3)                        | 515.6–796.9 Hz (619.1±77.2)                           |
| Dominant frequency (DF)               | 515.6 Hz (1st harmonic)                             | 562.5-632.8 (1st harmonic)                            |
| DE beginning (% of notes)             | 404.3-585.9 Hz (100% in the 1st                     | 515.6–703.1 Hz  |
|                                       | harmonic)   | (100% in the 1st harmonic)                            |
| DE middle (% of notes)                | 509.8–1210 Hz                                       | 585.9–1195.3 Hz                                       |
|                                       | (75% in the 2nd harmonic)                           | (90% in the 1st harmonic)                             |
| DE and (0% of notes)                  | 445.3–539.1 Hz                                      | 585.9–679.7 Hz (100% in the 1st                       |
|                                       | (100% in the 1st harmonic)                          | harmonic)   |
| Fundamental frequency ratio           | 0.75+0.44   | 0.97+0.06   |
| Frequency modulation                  | -1.0–0.0  | 0.0–5.3   |

The combined evidence from genetics, morphology, and bioacoustics indicates the existence of two species within "*E. tuberculosa*". Specimens from Yasuní and Juyuintza have similar coloration and dorsal tuberculation to the holotype of *E. tuberculosa* (BMNH 1947.2.13.34), therefore we assign them to *E. tuberculosa sensu stricto*. The specimen from Rio Ere belongs to an undescribed species that we describe in the following sections. Our species description is based on a single specimen and therefore we cannot characterize the variation in the new species. However, we examined 11 specimens of *E. tuberculosa*, which allow us to characterize *E. tuberculosa* morphological variation and show that the single specimen of the new species falls outside the range of variation of *E. tuberculosa*. Hence, we demonstrate that the new species is, in fact, distinct from *E. tuberculosa*. Our morphological evidence is corroborated by genetic and bioacoustic characters.

#### Taxonomic Review of Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa

Recent phylogenies of Hylidae (Wiens et al. 2010) and all amphibians (Pyron 2014) show, with strong support, that *Ecnomiohyla* is part of Hylini, a tribe composed by the

genera Acris, Anotheca, Bromeliohyla, Charadrahyla, Diaglena, Duellmanohyla, Ecnomiohyla, Exerodonta, Hyla, Isthmohyla, Megastomatohyla, Plectrohyla, Pseudacris, Ptychohyla, Smilisca, Tlalocohyla, Triprion. Wiens et al. (2010) and Pyron (2014) phylogenies only included 3 out of 14 species of Ecnomiohyla: E. milaria, E. minera, and E. miotympanum. Batista et al. (2014) analyzed one fragment of the mitochondrial gene 16S from seven species of Ecnomiohyla. Their outgroup was limited to two genera within Hylini and was not suitable to assess the phylogenetic position of Ecnomiohyla within Hylidae. Nevertheless, they provided the most complete phylogeny for Ecnomiohyla to date.

In our phylogeny, *E. tuberculosa* is part of the tribe Lophiohylini. Because all other species of *Ecnomiohyla* are part of the tribe Hylini (Pyron 2014; Wiens et al. 2010; Suppl. material 2), the phylogenetic position of *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa* renders the genus *Ecnomiohyla* polyphyletic and the genus *Tepuihyla* paraphyletic (Fig. 1). We solve both problems by assigning *Hyla tuberculosa* Boulenger 1882 to the genus *Tepuihyla*. This change results in *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* (Boulenger 1882) comb. n.

Below we present the species account for *T. tuberculosa* and we describe the new species from Ere River, Peru. Under this new taxonomy, the genus *Tepuihyla* contains ten species distributed in the Pantepui region of Venezuela, Guyana and in the Amazon region of Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, and Peru (AmphibiaWeb 2016; Salerno et al. 2015; Kok et al. 2015).

#### Tepuihyla tuberculosa (Boulenger 1882)

*Hyla tuberculosa* Boulenger 1882. Holotype BMNH 1947.2.13.34 *Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa*: Faivovich et al. 2005

**Holotype.** Sub adult female with SVL 67.6 mm (Fig. 4C–E). The description of the holotype provided by Boulenger (1882) is adequate.

**Diagnosis.** In this section coloration pertains to preserved specimens unless otherwise noted. A large-sized *Tepuihyla* differing from other known species in the genus by the following combination of characters: (1) SVL in males 79.3–86.2 mm (n = 5), SVL in females 67.6–85.7 mm (n = 2); (2) skin on dorsum coarsely tuberculate, covered by small tubercles with scattered large tubercles; tubercles without keratinized tips; (3) skin on flanks covered by large tubercles; (4) webbing between fingers extensive but without reaching the proximal border of the disks, hand webbing formula I2 —2 II1 —2 III1½—11V to I2—2+II1—21II2 —11V (Fig. 7A); webbing between toes extensive and reaching the proximal border of the disks on at least three toes, foot webbing formula I0+—1+II0+—1 III0+—1+IV1+— 0+V to I1+—1½II1—1+III1+—1½IV1½— 0+V (Fig. 7B); (5) dorsal coloration in life greenish cream or brownish cream with scattered dark brown reticulations, anterior and posterior surfaces of thighs and hidden surfaces of shanks yellowish orange; (6) ventral coloration whitish cream and webbing between fingers and toes pale orange; (7) suborbital mark absent, clear

labial stripe present, faint and with some brown spots; (8) coloration on flanks similar to dorsal coloration except for greenish yellow axilla and groins and whitish gray ventrolateral area; (9) skin on upper surface of head not co-ossified with underlying cranial elements, cranial crest slightly exostosed; (10) in life, bones green; (11) small triangular dermal flaps form serrate fringe along the ventrolateral margin of the forearm and along the outer edge of Finger IV; heels bearing two or three enlarged fleshy conical tubercles surrounded by few smaller round tubercles; small triangular dermal flaps form serrate fringe along ventrolateral margin of tarsus and outer margin of Toe V; (12) adults, in life, have cream iris with a coppery hue and scattered thin black reticulations; (13) vocal sac is white, single and subgular, (14) a juvenile is similar to adults in coloration but with dorsal background coloration brownish cream and a black medial stripe in the iris; (15) larvae unknown.

*Tepuihyla tuberculosa* differs from all congeneric species (in parenthesis), except *T. shushupe* sp. n., in having a larger size: SVL in males 79.3–86.2 mm (n = 5), SVL in females 67.6–85.7 mm (n = 2) (maximum SVL 59.2 mm in other *Tepuihyla*), having extensive webbing in the hands (basal webbing) and a serrate fringe along the ventrolateral margin of the forearm (absent). Other differences are listed on Table 4.

Tepuihyla tuberculosa is most similar to T. shushupe sp. n. It differs from T. shushupe sp. n. (character states in parenthesis) in having cream iris in life (iris cream with reddish periphery), dorsum covered with small tubercles intermixed with few large tubercles (dorsum covered with small tubercles intermixed with abundant large tubercles; Figs 3-5); in preservative, its dorsum is light cream or cream with a coppery hue and brownish cream to creamy coppery large tubercles (dorsum light brown with large brownish cream or creamy coppery tubercles with a dark brown posterior border). Tepuihyla tuberculosa also resembles the Amazonian Trachycephalus cunauaru and T. resinifictrix but differs from both species in having serrate fringes on the limbs and tubercles on the lower jaw (both absent in *Trachycephalus*; Fig. 8). Tepuihyla tuberculosa is easily distinguished from all other large Amazonian treefrogs in having fully webbed hands and feet, coarsely tubercular skin on dorsum, and serrate dermal fringes on the outer margin of the forearm and foot. Cruziohyla craspedopus and Dendropsophus marmoratus also have dermal fringes on the outer margin of the foot, but the former is distinguished by its deep green dorsum, vertically elliptical pupils in a bicolored iris (pale silver with yellow borders), smooth skin on dorsum and elongate calcar on the heel. The smaller Dendropsophus marmoratus exhibits a bright yellow belly with black spots or mottling (uniform yellowish-tan in T. tuberculosa).

**Variation.** In this section, coloration refers to preserved specimens unless otherwise noted. Morphometric data for adult specimens are summarized in Table 5, whereas variation in dorsal coloration of preserved specimens is shown in Figure 5. Dorsal coloration varies between light cream (e.g., QCAZ 55423) and cream suffused with a coppery hue (e.g., QCAZ 52855) with brownish cream or creamy coppery enlarged tubercles, bearing scattered dark brown reticulations or marks. In all specimens the belly and ventral areas of thighs are lighter than those of the holotype probably Table 4. Qualitative morphological characters of frogs of the genus Tepuibyla. Data was obtained from Ayarzagüena et al. 1992; Duellman and Hoogmoed 1992; Gorzula and Señaris 1998; Kok and Kalamandeen 2008; Kok et al. 2015; Mijares-Urrutia et al. 1999).

|                         | Serrate fringes on limbs | Maximum size females (mm) | Dorsum                                   | Tubercles on jaw | Webbing between fingers | Vocal sac |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| T. aecii                | absent                   | 36.8                      | smooth (females) to spiculate<br>(males) | absent           | absent                  | subgular  |
| T. edelcae              | absent                   | 45.7                      | smooth (females) to spiculate<br>(males) | absent           | absent                  | subgular  |
| T. exophthalma          | absent                   | 42.5                      | smooth with few tubercles                | absent           | absent                  | subgular  |
| T. luteolabris          | absent                   | 59.2                      | granular                                 | absent           | absent                  | subgular  |
| T. rodriguezi           | absent                   | 50.3                      | smooth (females) to spiculate<br>(males) | absent           | absent                  | subgular  |
| T. shushupe sp. n       | forelimbs and hindlimbs  |                           | tuberculate                              | present          | extensive               | subgular  |
| T. tuberculasa comb. n. | forelimbs and hindlimbs  | 85.7                      | tuberculate                              | present          | extensive               | subgular  |
| T. obscura              | absent                   | 38.4                      | smooth (females) to spiculate<br>(males) | absent           | absent                  | subgular  |
| T. warreni              | absent                   | 36.2                      | smooth                                   | absent           | basal                   | subgular  |

**Table 5.** Descriptive statistics for measurements of adult Amazonian *Tepuihyla*. Mean ± SD is given with range in parenthesis. Abbreviations are: SVL = snout-vent length; FOOT = foot length; HL = head length; HW = head width; ED = eye diameter; TD = tympanum diameter; TL = tibia length; FL = femur length. All measurements are in mm.

|      | <i>Tepuihyla shushupe</i> sp. n.<br>Male<br>CORBIDI 12513 | <i>Tepuihyla tuberculosa</i> comb. n.<br>Female<br>QCAZ 32716 | <i>Tepuihyla tuberculosa</i> comb. n.<br>males (n = 5) |
|------|---|---|--|
| SVL  | 85.4  | 85.7  | 82.9 ± 2.9 (79.3–86.2)                                 |
| FOOT | 35.5  | 35.8  | 35.53 ± 2.4 (33.5–39.5)                                |
| HL   | 26.6  | 25.7  | 26.0 ± 1.0 (25.1–27.5)                                 |
| HW   | 28.9  | 29.2  | 28.9 ± 1.6 (27.8–31.7)                                 |
| ED   | 7.7   | 7.5   | 7.5 ± 0.9 (6.3–8.9)                                    |
| TD   | 5.5   | 4.8   | 5.3 ± 0.5 (4.9–5.8)                                    |
| TL   | 46.9  | 45.6  | 46.3 ± 2.2 (44.1–49.9)                                 |
| FL   | 35.9  | 36.6  | 36.8 ± 1.3 (35.0–38.3)                                 |

because they were collected more recently. Head is rounded in dorsal view, wider than long; the snout is truncate in dorsal view and truncate (e.g. QCAZ 32716) in profile. The largest male has 86.2 mm SVL (average =  $82.9 \pm 2.7$  mm, n = 5) and the largest female 85.7 mm (Table 5).

**Coloration in life.** Dorsal coloration is greenish cream with scattered brown marks or reticulations, top and sides of head are more greenish in some specimens (e.g. QCAZ 52855); lips greenish with scattered small dark brown marks; supratympanic fold delineated by a thin brown edge, tympanic membrane lighter than the background; flanks with coloration similar to dorsum; axilla and groin pale orange, ventrolateral region whitish cream, limbs with faint brown transversal bands; dorsal surfaces of hands and feet, including webbing, greenish cream with scattered dark brown marks; discs pale green. Throat, chest and belly are white but some specimens have an orange hue on the belly (e.g. QCAZ 55423); serrate fringes along forearms and tarsus white; webbing, thighs and concealed surfaces of shanks orange. Iris is cream with a light coppery hue bearing some scattered black venations. Juvenile specimen QCAZ 55413 is similar to adult specimens but has a brownish cream dorsum with scattered faint brown blotches (Fig. 3E–F).

**Advertisement call.** Quantitative characteristics of the advertisement call of *T. tuberculosa* (QCAZ 53699) are detailed in Table 3. The call consists of a cackle of short notes repeated at a fast rate with amplitude modulation (Fig. 6). Note amplitude and note rate increase markedly along the first half of the call, decreasing at the end. The call has two harmonics but most of the energy located on the first. Fundamental frequency of the notes ranges from 515.6 to 796.9 Hz (mean = 619.1, SD = 77.2). Two notes located at ~50% of the call duration have their greatest energy in the second harmonic, at 1078.1 and 1195.3 Hz. All other notes have their greatest energy in the first harmonic. The dominant frequency of the entire call ranges from 562.5 to 632.8

Hz. Interestingly, the call of this species is feared by natives in the lower Pastaza basin (Shiwiar, Sapara, Shuar, Achuar people), because it is commonly confused with the "calling" of the bushmaster *Lachesis muta* (Squamata: Viperidae). This belief almost certainly incorrect as *L. muta* cannot vocalize. See also the Etymology section in *E. shushupe* sp. n. description.

**Distribution and natural history.** Localities documented for this species are shown in Figure 9. Duellman (1974) reported four specimens: two from Pastaza province in Ecuador, one from the mouth of Rio Santiago in northern Peru, and one juvenile from Rio Uaupes at junction of Rio Querari, Amazonas, Brazil. Given its geographic location, the latter probably corresponds to *T. shushupe* sp. n., but its identity requires confirmation. We recorded five additional localities in the Amazon lowlands of Ecuador and two in Peru (Fig. 9). Elevation range is 132 to 1076 m above sea level. The southernmost and highest locality is Cordillera Escalera, San Martin department, in northeastern Peru (CRBIIAP 1252).

All specimens with ecological data were collected at night perching on vegetation 1.5 to 3.0 m above the ground. One specimen from Yasuní (QCAZ 52855) and one from Juyuintza (QCAZ 53542; Fig. 10B) were collected on primary forest (M. Read and H. M. Ortega-Andrade field notes). The specimen recorded at Juyuintza was calling from a tree hole 1.5 m above the ground in *Terra Firme* forest. The hole had a depth of ~15 cm and a diameter of 10 cm, and was <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> flooded with water. Two individuals were calling nearby, at distances of approximately 100 to 200 m. No amplectant pairs, clutches, or tadpoles have been observed.

**Conservation status.** The scarcity of records of *E. tuberculosa*, even at thoroughly sampled localities, like Yasuni National Park, could be a consequence of small populations sizes or an artifact of low capture probabilities. Our finding of a male calling from a tree hole containing water suggests that *E. tuberculosa* breeds on tree holes and is unlikely to be found on ground-level surveys. Therefore, lack of records probably results from low capture probabilities consequence of microhabitat preferences. Because population status is unknown, we suggest that the Red List category of *T. tuberculosa* is Data Deficient according to IUCN (2001) guidelines. Searches of the species will benefit from audio sampling to detect the advertisement call described here.

# *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. http://zoobank.org/8045B112-6377-491C-ACFD-3DF3BA2192F7

*Ecnomiohyla tuberculosa*: Venegas and Gagliardi-Urrutia (2013). Species misidentification in their Figures 8B and 8G.

**Holotype.** (Figs 3–4, 6, 10C) CORBIDI 12513, adult male from Peru, Loreto department, Maynas province, head waters of rivers Ere and Campuya, Putumayo River basin (1.6790°S, 73.7197°W), 145 m above sea level, collected by P. J. Venegas and G. Gagliardi-Urrutia on 19 October 2012.



**Figure 3.** External morphology of *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. and *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. **A–B** *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. CORBIDI 12513 (holotype), adult male, SVL = 85.3 mm, Ere river, Peru **C–D** *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. QCAZ 55423, adult male, SVL = 83.1 mm, Parque Nacional Yasuní, Tambococha, Ecuador; **E–F** *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n., QCAZ 55413 juvenile, SVL = 58.1 mm, Parque Nacional Yasuní, Tambococha, Ecuador **G–H** iris coloration of *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. (QCAZ 55423) and *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n., (CORBIDI 12513). Photographs: **A–B, H** by P. Venegas, **C–G** by D. Quirola.



Figure 4. Holotypes of *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. (A-B CORBIDI 12513) and *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. (C-E BMNH 1947.2.13.34). Photos of *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. by M. R. Bustamante.



**Figure 5.** Variation in dorsal coloration of preserved specimens of adult *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. Left to right, upper row: QCAZ 52855 (male), QCAZ 53542 (male); lower row: QCAZ 53147 (male), QCAZ 55423 (male). See Suppl. material 2 for locality data. Specimens are shown at the same scale.



**Figure 6.** Oscillogram, sound spectrogram (first column), and power spectrum (second column) of advertisement calls of *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. (**A**) and *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. (**B**). Note differences in call length and number of notes (first column). Both species have the dominant frequency (arrows) in the first harmonic but in *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. the second harmonic has similar energy content (asterisk), while in *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. it has much less.

Diagnosis. In this section coloration pertains to preserved specimens unless otherwise noted. A large-sized *Tepuihyla* differing from other species in the genus by the following combination of characters: (1) SVL in males 85.4 mm (n = 1), females unknown; (2) skin on dorsum coarsely tuberculate, covered by small tubercles intermixed with large tubercles; tubercles without keratinized tips; (3) skin on flanks similar to skin on dorsum; (4) webbing between fingers extensive but without reaching the proximal border of disks; hand webbing formula  $I_2 = 2II_1 = 2III_2 = 1+IV$  (Fig. 7C); webbing between toes extensive, reaching the proximal border of the disks on at least three toes; foot webbing formula  $I1-1+II0+-1+III0+-1\frac{1}{2}IV1\frac{1}{2}-0+V$ (Fig. 7D); (5) dorsal coloration in life brownish green, anterior and posterior surfaces of thighs and hidden surfaces of shanks vellowish orange; (6) ventral coloration whitish gray and webbing between fingers and toes pale orange; (7) suborbital mark absent, clear labial stripe present, faint and with some brown spots; (8) coloration on flanks similar to dorsal coloration except for greenish yellow axilla and groins and whitish gray ventrolateral area; (9) skin on upper surface of head not co-ossified with underlying cranial element, cranial crest slightly exostosed; (10) in life, bones green; (11) small triangular dermal flaps form serrate fringe along the ventrolateral margin of the forearm and along the outer edge of Finger IV; heels bearing two enlarged fleshy conical tubercles surrounded by few smaller round tubercles; small triangular dermal flaps form serrate fringe along ventrolateral margin of tarsus and outer margin of Toe V; (12) in life, iris reddish peripherally turning cream towards to the center, with irregular black reticulations; (13) vocal sac is white, single and subgular, (14) juveniles unknown; (15) larvae unknown.

*Tepuihyla shushupe* differs from all species of the genus (in parenthesis), except *T. tuberculosa*, in being larger, having extensive hand webbing (basal webbing) and a serrate fringe along the ventrolateral margin of the forearm (absent). Other differences are listed on Table 4.

Tepuihyla shushupe is most similar to T. tuberculosa. It differs from T. tuberculosa (character states in parenthesis) in having, in life, a cream iris with red periphery (iris cream without red periphery), dorsum covered by small tubercles intermixed with abundant large tubercles (dorsum covered by small tubercles intermixed with few large tubercles); in preservative, dorsum cream with a brownish mantle (dorsum light cream or cream suffused with a coppery hue) and the posterior border of large dorsal tubercles dark brown (tubercles brownish cream or creamy coppery). In coloration, size, and texture of dorsal skin Tepuihyla shushupe resembles the Amazonian Trachycephalus resinifictrix and Trachycephalus cunauaru. It can be distinguished from both species by the presence of serrate fringes on the limbs and tubercles in the lower jaw (both absent in Trachycephalus) and the iris having red periphery and lacking a vertical black bar below the pupil (iris without red and with a vertical back bar below the pupil in both Trachycephalus).

**Description of the holotype.** Adult male (CORBIDI 12513), 85.4 mm SVL, head length 26.6, head width 28.9, eye diameter 7.7, tympanum diameter 5.5, femur length 35.9, tibia length 46.9, foot length 35.9. Head rounded in dorsal view, wider

than long (HL/HW = 92%); snout truncate in dorsal view and slightly protruding in profile; nostrils are directed laterally, near tip of the snout; top of head tuberculate; canthus rostralis concave in dorsal view, straight in profile; skin on upper surface of head not co-ossified with underlying cranial elements, cranial crests slightly exostosed; loreal region concave; skin on dorsal surface of head, body and limbs coarsely tuberculate, tubercles are either small or large and lack keratinous spines; lower evelid pigmented; distinct supratympanic fold formed by a row of round tubercles running from midpoint of posterior margin of eye; tympanum prominent, opaque, smooth, 71% of eye diameter, separated from eye by 3.8 mm; small triangular dermal flaps form serrate fringe along the ventrolateral margin of the forearm and the outer edge of Finger IV, fringe more conspicuous on forearm that on finger; hands moderate in length (HAL/SVL = 31.2%); Finger lengths I < II < IV < III, terminal disk on Finger I 63.2% of diameter of disks on Fingers II-IV; diameter of Fingers II-IV ~1.2 times tympanum diameter; distal subarticular tubercles on Fingers I-III large and rounded, on Finger IV larger and bifid; supernumerary tubercles indistinct; prepollical tubercle large, obtuse and elliptical; bony prepollical projection absent; keratinous nuptial pad with dark epidermal projections covering the surface of the thumb up to the distal edge of its subarticular tubercle; fingers considerably webbed although web does not reach base of disks; webbing formula I2-2II1-2III1<sup>1/2</sup>-1<sup>1/4</sup>IV; legs relatively long and slender (tibia length 54.8% of SVL), thigh length 35.9 mm; heels bearing two enlarged conical tubercles surrounded by few lower round tubercles; fleshy, dermal flaps form serrate fringe along ventrolateral margin of tarsus and outer margin of Toe V extending to base of disk, scallops are deeply incised, largest on tarsus, smaller along toe; outer metatarsal tubercle small, inner metatarsal tubercle moderately large, ovoid, and flat; toe lengths I < II < III = V < IV; disks on toes -70% of diameter of disks on fingers, equal between Toes III-V; subarticular tubercles round; supernumerary tubercles present, ill defined; webbing in toes extensive, reaching the base of disks on at least three toes; webbing formula I1-1II1-1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>III<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-1IV1- <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>V; gular skin smooth with small pointed tubercles along the jaw , venter finely granulate, weak granulation on undersides of arms and finely on ventral surfaces of thighs, smooth skin on anterior surfaces of thighs and ventral surfaces of legs; cloacal opening directed posteriorly at upper-level of thighs, some indistinct small tubercles on the upper edge of vent, skin under the vent covered by small flattened but conspicuous tubercles with two enlarged prominent fleshy tubercles posterolateral to the vent (one on each side); tongue cordiform; vomerine ridges transverse, oblique in the middle, narrowly separated medially, placed between the posterior margins of the large subrectangular choanae; vomerine teeth 6 to 11; vocal slits present.

**Color of holotype in life (Figs 3, 10).** Based on digital photographs. Dorsal and lateral surfaces of body and limbs pale green; posterior edge of each enlarged tubercle, dark brown; lips paler than the rest of head; lower flanks, forearms, tibia, and tarsus white; dorsal surfaces of hindlimbs with narrow dark brown transversal stripes; axillar region, groins, anterior and posterior surfaces of thighs, and hidden surfaces of shanks greenish yellow; dorsally, webbing between fingers and toes pale greenish yellow with



**Figure 7.** Ventral views of the left hand and foot of *Tepuihyla*. **A–B** *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. (Parque Nacional Yasuní, Ecuador, SVL = 86.2 mm, QCAZ 52855, adult male) **C–D** *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. (Ere River, Peru, SVL = 85.4 mm, CORBIDI 12513, adult male).

scattered dark brown marks, ventrally greenish orange. Venter whitish gray with an orange tone on belly and ventral surfaces of thighs; ventral surfaces of arms and elbows greenish yellow; ventral surfaces of tibia, tarsus, palms, fingers, soles, toes and discs water green; limb bones green; iris cream with red periphery and irregular black reticulations.



Figure 8. Dorsolateral views of Amazonian *Trachycephalus*. A *Trachycephalus helioi*, Juruti, Pará, Brazil
B *Trachycephalus resinifictrix*, Juruti, Pará, Brazil C *Trachycephalus cunauaru*, Santa Izabel do Rio Negro, Amazonas, Brazil D-E *Trachycephalus typhonius*, Village de Ouanary, French Guiana F *Trachycephalus typhonius*, Matiti, French Guiana. Photographs: A-C by M. Gordo, D-E by Daniel Baudain, F by Vincent Premel.

**Color of holotype in preservative (Figs 4A–B, 5C–D).** Dorsal surfaces of head, body and forelimbs, including fingers, are tan; large dorsal tubercles have a dark brown margin; dorsal surfaces of hindlimbs and toes dirty cream bearing scattered light tubercles with dark brown margins and narrow dark brown transversal stripes; posterior surfaces of thighs light cream; dorsal surfaces of discs on fingers and toes are light cream with dark brown marks. Venter is whitish cream.

**Advertisement call.** Quantitative characteristics of the advertisement call *T. shushupe* (CORBIDI 12513) are detailed in Table 3. The call consists of a cackle of short notes repeated at a fast rate with amplitude modulation (Fig. 6). Note amplitude



**Figure 9.** Records of *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. and *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n., red star; *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n., blue triangles and blue star for type locality. Locality data from specimens deposited at Natural History Museum (BMNH), London, Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad (CORBIDI), and Museo de Zoología, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador (QCAZ).

and note rate increases markedly along the first half of the call, decreasing again at the end. The call has two harmonics, the first harmonic has slightly more energy than the second (Fig. 6). Fundamental frequency of individual notes ranges from 404.3 to



Figure 10. Life individuals and habitat of *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. and *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n.
A habitat of *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. at Juyuintza, Provincia Pastaza, Ecuador B Adult male of *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. (QCAZ 53542) sitting at the entrance of the tree hole where he was calling C Adult male of *Tepuihyla shushupe* sp. n. (CORBIDI 12513, holotype) partly submerged in the water accumulated on the tree hole where he was calling D *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* comb. n. (CRBIIAP 1839) from the vicinity of Puerto Almendras, Loreto, Peru. Photographs: A, B by M. Ortega, C by A. Del Campo, D by G. Gagliardi-Urrutia.

585.9 Hz (mean = 500.08, SD = 28.3). The dominant frequency of the entire call is 515.6 Hz. Midway through the call, nearly 25% of the notes have the greatest energy in the second harmonic (range 1016.6 to 1210 Hz).

**Distribution and natural history.** *Tepuihyla shushupe* is only known from the type locality in the headwaters of rivers Ere and Campuya, at an elevation of 145 m,

in the Putumayo river basin near the boundary between Peru and Colombia. According with Vriesendorp (2013), the type locality consists of a complex of forest terraces, at elevations between 90 and 170 m above sea level, with a canopy reaching 35 to 40 m; terraces have heavy loads of leaf litter (~50 cm deep) and a dense mat of fine roots; the depressions between terraces have small palm swamps (~10 m wide) of *Oenocarpus bataua*; the soil varies between sandy and clayey; most streams have a muddy bottom, few have gravel and sand, and one has big cobbles.

The holotype was calling at the base of a big tree inside a narrow hole, 150 cm above the ground. The hole had 30 cm of height and had water accumulated. The frog had most of its body submerged (Fig. 10C) and the top of its head was covered by flies (probably *Corethrella* midges). We recorded its call and immediately made playbacks. The male answered by calling quickly and perching on the hole entrance. We detected at least six individuals during 18 hours of visual encounter surveys (0.375 individuals/ hour,) in areas nearby where the holotype was found (primary forest). All individuals were detected by their advertisement calls and none could be collected. No females, amplectant pairs, clutches, or tadpoles have been observed.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is a noun in apposition. The word *shushupe* is used by native people to refer to the bushmaster *Lachesis muta* (Squamata: Viperidae), the largest viper in the Americas. Our field assistants in Ere river, Alpahuayo Mishana (Peru) and Juyuintza (Ecuador) believed that the advertisement calls of *T. shushupe* and *T. tuberculosa* were produced by *L. muta*. The belief that *L. muta* can sing seems to be widespread among hunters, colonists, and indigenous people from the Amazon basin (Lamar 1998). The association of the calls from *Tepuihyla* with *L. muta* by people on widely separated localities in Amazonian Peru and Ecuador deserves investigation.

**Conservation status.** *Tepuihyla shushupe* is only known from a single individual collected at the type locality. Calling behavior suggests that *T. shushupe* breeds on tree holes and is a canopy dweller. The detection of the calls of six additional individuals at the type locality suggests that the species can be relatively abundant. However, the species may be difficult to observe in ground-level surveys. Given the uncertainty in its population status, we suggest that its Red List category is Data Deficient according to IUCN (2001) guidelines.

**Remarks.** The juvenile specimen (USNM 193866) from Rio Querari (Amazonas, Brazil) reported as *E. tuberculosa* by Duellman (1974) probably correspond to *T. shushupe*, but a taxonomic validation is needed to confirm its identity.

# Taxonomic status of populations of *Trachycephalus typhonius* from Ecuador and Peru

Several authors have discussed the considerable morphological variation in *T. typhonius* (Duellman 1971; McDiarmid 1968; Savage 2002) and have suggested that it may represent a species complex (Cochran and Goin 1970; Duellman 2005). The paraphyly of *Trachycephalus typhonius* (Fig. 1A) also suggests the existence of undescribed species.

The resolution of the taxonomy of *T. typhonius* is challenging given the large number of available synonyms (Duellman 1971; Lavilla et al. 2010). However, the identification of the type locality of *T. typhonius* as Paramaribo, Suriname, by Lavilla et al. (2010) allows inferring the phylogenetic position of *T. typhonius sensu stricto*. The type locality is geographically close to samples from Guyana (AMNH-A 141142) and French Guiana (163MC), Clade C in Figure 1A. Therefore, we consider Clade C to represent *T. typhonius sensu stricto*. Two linages from Ecuador and Peru are distinct from Clade C and each has an available name. Below we discuss their status.

# "Trachycephalus typhonius" from the lowlands of western Ecuador

Populations from western Ecuador are sister to a clade composed of T. cunauaru, T. hadroceps, T. resinifictrix and T. typhonius. Their phylogenetic position demonstrates that they are not conspecific with T. typhonius sensu stricto or other species of Trachycephalus. One binomial available for Trachycephalus from western Ecuador is Hyla quadrangulum Boulenger 1882 (type locality "western Ecuador"). Duellman (1971) synonymized Hyla quadrangulum under T. coriaceus stating that the holotype is "indistinguishable from P. coriacea". He also questioned its type locality because "several species contained in the Fraser collections supposedly from western Ecuador have been found subsequently only in the Amazon Basin". Our examination of a large series of specimens from western Ecuador and T. coriaceus from the Amazon basin show unequivocally that the holotype of H. quadrangulum (Fig. 11G-H) is not conspecific with T. coriaceus. Although the holotype has a quadrangular dorsal blotch, also present in T. coriaceus, we found the same pattern in specimens from western Ecuador (e.g., QCAZ 23427, 31302, 39361, 51746). Moreover, the holotype lacks the dark blue blotch above the arm characteristic of *T. coriaceus*. We conclude that the type locality is correct and Hyla quadrangulum is a valid species. The resurrection of Hyla quadrangulum Boulenger 1882 results in Trachycephalus quadrangulum (Boulenger 1882) comb. n.

Names under *T. typhonius* that could be senior synonyms to *T. quadrangulum* include *Hyla spilomma* Cope 1877 (type locality Veracruz, México), *Hyla paenulata* Brocchi 1879 ("versant occidental du Guatemala"), and *Hyla nigropunctata* Boulenger 1882 (several localities in Mexico). We exclude from this list synonyms with type localities east of the Andes based on our phylogeny, which shows that *T. quadran-gulum* is restricted to the Chocó region. The phylogeny is consistent with a general biogeographic pattern showing that humid lowlands east and west from the Andes do not share amphibian species as result of the vicariant effect of the Andes (dos Santos et al. 2015). The phylogenetic and biogeographic evidence indicates that it is highly unlikely that available binomials with type localities in the Amazon basin or Guianan region are conspecific with *T. quadrangulum*. The resolution of the taxonomic identity of populations from Central America and the Chocó region is beyond the scope of this article. Nevertheless, the resurrection of *T. quadrangulum* provides a name to an evolutionary lineage separate from *T. typhonius*. Even if provisional, this new ar-



**Figure 11.** External morphology of *Trachycephalus quadrangulum* comb. n. from the Chocó region, western Ecuador. **A** QCAZ 39360, adult male, SVL = 74.1 mm, Caimito, Provincia de Esmeraldas, Ecuador **B** QCAZ 39361, adult male, SVL = 73.2 mm, same locality as A **C–D** QCAZ 51746, adult female, SVL = 80.3, Bosque Pacocha, Provincia Manabí, Ecuador **E** QCAZ 35406, adult male (SVL = 69.5), Bosque Protector Puyango, Provincia El Oro, Ecuador **F** QCAZ 28530, adult male (SVL = 64.5), Hacienda Santa Teresita, Provincia del Guayas, Ecuador; (G–H) Holotype of *Hyla quadrangulum*, adult female; scale, in cm, shown for reference. Photographs: **A–F** by S. R. Ron, **G–H** by Jeff Streicher.

rangement better reflects the evolutionary uniqueness of Chocoan populations and contributes to a more accurate characterization of the species diversity of the Chocoan amphibiofauna. It also improves our understanding of the conservation status of the *T. typhonius* species complex.

# "Trachycephalus typhonius" from Amazonian Ecuador and Peru

According to the phylogeny (Fig. 1A), populations from Amazonian Ecuador and Peru (Clade B) are sister to *T. resinifictrix* and this clade is sister to *T. typhonius sensu stricto*. Clade B is morphologically distinct from *T. resinifictrix* and comparisons of live individuals of clade B with photographs of the holotype of *T. typhonius* published by Lavilla et al. (2010) reveal that they belong to separate species as well. The holotype of *T. typhonius* (UUZM 134) shows the clear iris with dark reticulations, also characteristic of populations from French Guyana (Fig. 8D–F). Clade B, in contrast, is characterized by having a dark brown iris without reticulations (Fig. 12).

Given its phylogenetic position and distinct external morphology, we conclude that Clade B is not conspecific with *T. typhonius*. We propose a solution for the status of those populations by resurrecting the binomial *Hyla macrotis* Andersson 1945 from its synonymy under *T. typhonius* proposed by Duellman (1971). The resurrection is based in the similarity between the holotype of *H. macrotis* (Fig. 13) and specimens from Clade B (Fig. 12). Within the region where the holotype was collected, "Río Pastaza watershed, Ecuador", only clade B is morphologically similar. This allows us to confidently conclude that the holotype of *H. macrotis* (Andersson 1945) comb. n.

It seems unlikely that other synonyms under *T. typhonius* are senior synonyms to *T. macrotis* because most of them are from the Guianan region and Central America and are geographically distant from the range of *T. macrotis*. The resurrection of *T. macrotis* provides a name to an evolutionary lineage separate from *T. typhonius*. As in *T. quadrangulum*, the recognition of *T. macrotis* better reflects the biology of its populations.

We could not examine the voucher specimens for the GenBank sequences of *Trachycephalus* used in our phylogeny. Some of those identifications could be incorrect and should be revaluated. For example, specimen KU 217753 is identified as *T. venulosus* (= *T. typhonius*) from the Chocó region of Ecuador. However, in the phylogeny it is nested within *T. cunauaru* from Amazonian Ecuador. Both the identification and locality are incongruent with its phylogenetic position suggesting that the tissue actually belongs to *T. cunauaru* from the Amazon region. The same error applies to GenBank sequence DQ347027, a sample that was obtained from the pet market. GenBank sequence JQ868532 (field number PS013) is identified as *T. venulosus* (= *T. typhonius*). The specimen is likely lost and its correct identification appears to be *T. resinifictrix*. Despite these potential identifications of the samples



**Figure 12.** External morphology of *Trachycephalus macrotis* comb. n. **A–B** QCAZ 39565, adult female, SVL = 101.1 mm, Reserva Zanjarajuno, Ecuador **C** calling male, Reserva Zanjarajuno, Ecuador **D** amplectant pair, QCAZ 39565, adult female (SVL = 101.12) and QCAZ 39566, adult male (SVL = 81.38), Reserva Zanjarajuno, Ecuador **E** CORBIDI 9544, adult male, (SVL = 82.9), Peru **F** QCAZ 43017, adult male, SVL = 91.5 mm, Parque Nacional Yasuní, km 22 Pompeya-Iro road, Ecuador. Photographs by S. R. Ron except for (**E**) by A. Catenazzi.

of *T. typhonius* from Guyana and French Guyana, which are reliable. We obtained photographs of populations from French Guyana (Fig. 8) from the same collector who provided the tissues for individual 163MC. Therefore, the identification of the tissue should be correct. Sequences for the specimen from Guyana were published by Faivovich et al. (2005). They have a voucher specimen (AMNHA 121142), which should be correctly identified.



**Figure 13.** Holotype of *Hyla macrotis* Anderson 1945, NHRM 1958. **A** lateral view of the head **B** ventral view **C** dorsal view. The holotype is an adult female, SVL = 120 mm (Anderson 1945). Photographs by Bo Delling.

# Morphological comparisons between Trachycephalus quadrangulum and T. macrotis

The external morphology of *T. quadrangulum* is distinct from that of *T. macrotis*. Populations from western Ecuador (Fig. 1; Fig 11) are smaller (adult males average SVL = 69.0 mm, range 53.4–76.9, n = 9; adult females SVL = 75.5 mm, 60.5–80.8 mm; n = 10; Ron and Read 2011), have brown to cream disks on the fingers, and a bronze iris with irregular black reticulations (Fig. 11); *T. macrotis* (clade B, Fig. 1) are larger (adult males average SVL = 84.58 mm, range 69.8–91.5, n = 12; adult females SVL = 103.2 mm, 93.9–118.7, n = 4), have green discs on the fingers, and a dark brown iris (Fig. 12). The morphologic and genetic differences between both clades corroborate that they represent separate species.

# Discussion

These results show, for the first time, the evolutionary affinities of *Tepuihyla tuberculosa*, a species of controversial position within Hylidae (Faivovich et al. 2005; Savage and Kubicki 2010). The inclusion of *T. tuberculosa* within the clade *Tepuihyla* was unexpected because it has a highly divergent morphology compared to other congeners. *Tepuihyla tuberculosa* and *T. shushupe* differ from all other *Tepuihyla* in having tuberculate skin texture in the dorsum, extensive webbing between the fingers and toes, serrate fringes on limbs, and calling behavior from tree-holes (Ayarzagüena et al. 1992; Duellman and Hoogmoed 1992; Gorzula and Señaris 1998; Kok and Kalamandeen 2008; Mijares-Urrutia et al. 1999). Except for size, all these characters are putative synapomorphies for *T. tuberculosa* and *T. shushupe* because they are absent in other *Tepuihyla* and its sister clade, *Dryaderces* + *Osteocephalus*. The distinctiveness of *T. tuberculosa* and *T. shushupe* is remarkable considering that both *Tepuihyla* and *Osteocephalus* lack phenotypic synapomorphies except for juvenile color pattern in *Osteocephalus* [Jungfer et al. 2013]. Phytotelmata breeding, in contrast, is shared between all *Tepuihyla* and could be a synapomorphy for the genus or for a larger clade that also includes *Osteocephalus* and *Trachycephalus* because both genera have species that breed in phytotelmata (e.g., Gordo et al. 2013; Jungfer et al. 2000).

The morphological similarity between *T. tuberculosa* and *Ecnomiohyla* could be the result of convergence resulting from a similar reproductive mode and microhabitat. *Ecnomiohyla* are large frogs that call and lay eggs on tree holes partly filled with water (McCranie et al. 2003; Mendelson et al. 2008; Savage 2002; Savage and Kubicki 2010). Our observations of males of *T. tuberculosa* and *T. shushupe* calling from tree holes containing water suggest they share a similar reproductive mode. Convergence in external morphology and reproductive mode in *Ecnomiohyla* and Amazonian *Tepuihyla* suggests that both sets of characters are correlated. This hypothesis is reinforced by the morphological similarity between *T. tuberculosa* and *Trachycephalus cunauaru*, *Trachycephalus hadroceps* and *Trachycephalus resinifictrix* (Fig. 8). These three species of *Trachycephalus* are among the few Amazonian hylids that also call and breed on tree holes (Duellman and Trueb 1976; Gordo et al. 2013; Moser 2010). They represent an additional independent origin of this mode of reproduction. As in *Ecnomiohyla*, *Tepuihyla tuberculosa*, and *T. shushupe*, large size, mossy coloration, ornamented skin, and large hands characterize their external morphology.

The phylogenetic position of *T. tuberculosa* is consistent with the biogeography of Hylinae. Most species of the tribe Hylini (including *Ecnomiohyla*) occur in Central and North America; only *Ecnomiohyla phantasmagoria* and three species of *Smilisca* are present in South America and none of them occur in the Amazon basin (Wiens et al. 2006). According to the biogeographic reconstruction by Wiens et al. (2011), the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of Hylini inhabited either Central América or North America while the MRCA of *Ecnomiohyla* inhabited Central America. Therefore, the assignment of "*Hyla tuberculosa*" to the genus *Ecnomiohyla* was at odds with the distribution of the rest of the tribe. The tribe Lophiohylini, on the other hand, is primarily distributed in South America. The MRCA of *Tepuihyla* probably occurred in the Guyana region, the MRCA of *Osteocephalus* in the Amazon basin and that of *Tepuihyla* + *Osteocephalus* + *Dryaderces* in Guyana-Amazon or Amazon basin exclusively (Wiens et al. 2011). The phylogenetic relationships of *T. tuberculosa* reported hare imply a more parsimonious biogeographic scenario because they do not require a

recent dispersal event between Central America and the Amazon Basin. Further analyses with a more complete taxon sampling are needed to more confidently infer the geographic origin of *Tepuihyla*.

# Acknowledgments

Field and laboratory work in Ecuador were funded by grants from Secretaría Nacional de Educación Superior, Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (SENESCYT, Arca de Noé Initiative) and PUCE-DGA (SRR principal investigator). Extraction and amplification of DNA was carried out by M. Caminer, D. Flores, A. Manzano, M. J. Nichols, and M. E. Ordoñez. Daniel Baudain, Alessandro Catenazzi, A. del Campo, Marcelo Gordo, Antoine Fouquet, and Vincent Premel provided photographs of Tepuihyla and Trachycephalus from Brazil, French Guiana, and Peru. Jeff Streicher and Bo Delling provided photographs of the holotype of Hyla quadrangulum and Hyla macrotis, respectively. For the loan of specimens and access to collections we are indebted to D. Frost, D. Kizirian, and R. Pascocello (American Museum of Natural History), R. McDiarmid and K. de Queiroz (National Museum of Natural History), J. C. Señaris and Fernando Rojas (Museo de Historia Natural la Salle), and Barry Clarke (Natural History Museum of London). Antoine Fouquet shared tissues of Trachycephalus from French Guyana. For the collection of specimens we thank S. Aldás, G. Arévalo, F. P. Ayala, J. Ayarzagüena, J. Brito, E. Carrillo, W. E. Duellman, D. Lombeida, J. Manya, A. Mármol, S. Padilla, P. Picerno, J. Pinto, D. Quirola, M. Read, M. Reyes, F. J. M. Rojas, P. Romero, L. Santi, J. C. Señaris, D. Toquetón, A. Varela, and F. F. Velásquez. Ministerio de Ambiente del Ecuador issued collecting permit MAE-DPAP-PIC-FLO-FAU-2015-003. Fieldwork in the headwaters of Ere and Campuya rivers (PJV and GGU) was part of a Rapid Biological Inventory led by the Field Museum, Chicago. PJV is especially indebted to A. Del Campo by logistic support in the field. The advertisement call of Tepuihyla shushupe was recorded with equipment donated by the Cornell Lab of ornithology, Cornell University. Celsi Señaris and F. Rojas-Runjaic took morphological measurements of specimens.

# References

- AmphibiaWeb (2016) AmphibiaWeb: information on amphibian biology and conservation. University of California Berkeley. http://amphibiaweb.org/
- Andersson LG (1945) Batrachians from East Ecuador collected 1937, 1938 by Wm. Clarke-Macintyre and Rolf Blomberg. Arkiv för Zoologi (Stockholm) 37A: 1–88.
- Ayarzagüena J, Señaris JC, Gorzula S (1992) El grupo *Osteocephalus rodriguezi* de las tierras altas de la Guayana venezolana: descripción de cinco nuevas especies. Memoria de la sociedad de Ciencias Naturales La Salle 52: 113–142.

- Ayarzagüena J, Señaris JC, Gorzula SJ (1993) Un nuevo género para las especies del grupo "Osteocephalus rodriguezi" (Anura: Hylidae). Memoria. Sociedad de Ciencias Naturales La Salle (Caracas) 52[1992]: 213–221.
- Batista A, Hertz A, Mebert K, Kohler G, Lotzkat S, Ponce M, Vesely M (2014) Two new fringelimbed frogs of the genus *Ecnomiohyla* (Anura: Hylidae) from Panama. Zootaxa 3826: 449–474. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3826.3.2
- Bookstein FL (1989) "Size and shape": A comment on semantics. Systematic Zoology 38: 173–180. doi: 10.2307/2992387
- Boulenger GA (1882) Catalogue of the Batrachia Salientia. Ecaudata in the Collection of the British Museum. British Museum, London.
- Charif RA, Waack A, Strickman L (2010) Raven Pro 1.4 User's Manual. http://www.birds. cornell.edu/brp/raven/RavenOverview.html [accessed 15/08/2014]
- Cochran DM, Goin CJ (1970) Frogs of Colombia. United States National Museum Bulletin 288: 1–655. doi: 10.5962/bhl.part.6346
- Cocroft RB, Ryan MJ (1995) Patterns of advertisement call evolution in toads and chorus frogs. Animal Behaviour 49: 283–303. doi: 10.1006/anbe.1995.0043
- Darst CR, Cannatella DC (2004) Novel relationships among hyloid frogs inferred from 12S and 16S mitochondrial DNA sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 31: 462–475. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2003.09.003
- dos Santos SP, Ibáñez R, Ron SR (2015) Systematics of the *Rhinella margaritifera* complex (Anura, Bufonidae) from western Ecuador and Panama with insights in the biogeography of *Rhinella alata*. ZooKeys 501: 109–145. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.501.8604
- Duellman WE (1961) A new species of fringe-limbed tree frog from Mexico Studies of American Hylid Frogs VIII. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science 64: 349–352. doi: 10.2307/3626762
- Duellman WE (1970) Hylid frogs of Middle America. Monograph of the Museum of Natural History University of Kansas 1: 1–753.
- Duellman WE (1971) A taxonomic review of South American hylids frogs, genus *Phrynohyas*. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Natural History University of Kansas 4: 1–21.
- Duellman WE (1974) A reassessment of the taxonomic status of some Neotropical hylid frogs. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Natural History of the University of Kansas 27: 1–27.
- Duellman WE (2005) Cusco Amazónico, the lives of amphibian and reptiles in an Amazonian rainforest. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 433 pp.
- Duellman WE, Hoogmoed MS (1992) Some hylid frogs from the Guiana Highlands, northeastern South America: new species, distributional records, and a generic reallocation. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Natural History University of Kansas 147: 1–21.
- Duellman WE, Marion AB, Hedges SB (2016) Phylogenetics, classification, and biogeography of the treefrogs (Amphibia: Anura: Arboranae). Zootaxa 4104: 001–109. doi: 10.11646/ zootaxa.4104.1.1
- Duellman WE, Trueb L (1976) The systematic status and relationships of the hylid frog Nyctimantis rugiceps Boulenger. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Natural History University of Kansas 58: 1–14.

- Faivovich J, Haddad CFB, Garcia PCA, Frost DR, Campbell JA, Wheeler WC (2005) Systematic review of the frog family Hylidae, with special reference to Hylinae: phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic revision. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 294: 6–228. doi: 10.1206/0003-0090(2005)294[0001:SROTFF]2.0.CO;2
- Firschein IL, Smith HM (1956) A new fringe-limbed *Hyla* (Amphibia: Anura) from a new faunal district of México. Herpetologica 12: 17–21.
- Frost DR (2014) Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. http://research.amnh. org/vz/herpetology/amphibia/ [accessed 15 April 2014]
- Goebel AM, Donnelly MA, Atz M (1999) PCR primers and amplification methods for 12S ribosomal DNA, the control region, cytochrome oxidase I, and cytochrome b in bufonids and other frogs, and an overview of PCR rimers which have amplified DNA in amphibians successfully. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 11: 163–199. doi: 10.1006/mpev.1998.0538
- Gordo M, Toledo LF, Suárez P, Kawashita-Ribeiro RA, Ávila RW, Morais DH, Nunes I (2013) A new species of Milk Frog of the genus *Trachycephalus* Tschudi (Anura, Hylidae) from the Amazonian rainforest. Herpetologica 69: 466–479. doi: 10.1655/HERPETO-LOGICA-D-11-00086
- Gorzula S, Señaris JC (1998) Contribution to the herpetofauna of the Venezuelan Guayana. I. A database. Scientia Guaianae 8: 1–267.
- IUCN (2001) Red list categories: version 3.1. UICN Species Survival Comission, Gland.
- Jungfer K-H, Faivovich J, Padial JM, Castroviejo-Fisher S, Lyra MM, V. M. Berneck B, Iglesias PP, Kok PJR, MacCulloch RD, Rodrigues MT, Verdade VK, Torres Gastello CP, Chaparro JC, Valdujo PH, Reichle S, Moravec J, Gvoždík V, Gagliardi-Urrutia G, Ernst R, De la Riva I, Means DB, Lima AP, Señaris JC, Wheeler WC, F. B. Haddad C (2013) Systematics of spiny-backed treefrogs (Hylidae: *Osteocephalus*): an Amazonian puzzle. Zoologica Scripta 42: 351–380. doi: 10.1111/zsc.12015
- Jungfer KH, Ron SR, Seipp R, Almendáriz A (2000) Two new species of hylid frogs, genus Osteocephalus, from Amazonian Ecuador. Amphibia-Reptilia 21: 327–340. doi: 10.1163/15685-3800507525
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Kok PJR, Kalamandeen M (2008) Introduction to the amphibians of Kaieteur National Park, Guyana. Abc Taxa 5: 1–279.
- Kok PJR, S. R, Tegelaar M, Aubret F, Bruce Means D (2015) Out of the taxonomic limbo: a name for the species of *Tepuihyla* (Anura: Hylidae) from the Chimantá Massif, Pantepui region, northern South America. Salamandra 51: 283–314.
- Lamar W (1998) *Lachesis muta*: cantante y bailarín? Primer Simpôsio. *Lachesis muta*: um desafio biológica e toxinólogico. Instituto Vital Brazil, Niteroi, Río de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SYW, Guindon S (2012) PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution 29: 1695–1701. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mss020

- Lavilla EO, Langone JA, Padial JM, de Sá RO (2010) The identity of the crackling, luminiscent frog of Suriname (*Rana typhonia* Linnaeus, 1758) (Amphibia, Anura). Zootaxa 2671: 17–30.
- Linnaeus C (1758) Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Editio decima, reformata. Salvius, Holmiae.
- Luna MC, Taboada C, Baeta D, Faivovich J (2012) Structural diversity of nuptial pads in Phyllomedusinae (Amphibia: Anura: Hylidae). Journal of Morphology 273: 712–724. doi: 10.1002/jmor.20016
- Maddison WP, Maddison DR (2014) Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. Version 3.01. http://mesquiteproject.org [accessed June 08 2015]
- McCranie JR, Townsend JH, Wilson LD (2003) *Hyla miliaria* (Anura: Hylidae) in Honduras, with notes on calling site. Caribbean Journal of Science 39: 398–399.
- McDiarmid RW (1968) Population variation in the frog genus *Phrynohyas* Fitzinger in Middle America. Contributions in Science Los Angeles County Museum 134: 1–25.
- Mendelson JR, Savage JM, Griffith E, Ross H, Kubicki B, Gagliardo R (2008) Spectacular new gliding species of *Ecnomiohyla* (Anura: Hylidae) from Central Panama. Journal of Herpetology 42: 750–759. doi: 10.1670/08-025R1.1
- Mijares-Urrutia A, Manzanilla-Puppo J, La Marca E (1999) Una nueva especie de Tepuihyla (Anura: Hylidae) del noroeste de Venezuela con comentarios sobre su biogeografía. Revista de Biologia Tropical 47: 1099–1110.
- Moen DS, Wiens JJ (2009) Phylogenetic evidence for competitively driven divergence: bodysize evolution in Caribbean treefrogs (Hylidae: *Osteopilus*). Evolution 63: 195–214. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00538.x
- Moravec J, Aparicio J, Guerrero-Reinhard M, Calderón G, Jungfer KH, Gvozdík V (2009) A new species of *Osteocephalus* (Anura: Hylidae) from Amazonian Bolivia: first evidence of tree frog breeding in fruit capsules of the Brazil nut tree. Zootaxa 2215: 37–54.
- Moser G (2010) The evolution of phytotelmata-breeding anurans. Unpublished Thesis: University of Vienna, Vienna.
- Myers CW, Duellman WE (1982) A new species of *Hyla* from Cerro Colorado, and other tree frog records and geographical notes from Western Panama. American Museum Novitates 2752: 1–32.
- Pyron RA (2014) Biogeographic analysis reveals ancient continental vicariance and recent oceanic dispersal in amphibians. Systematic Biology 63: 779-797. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syu042
- Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Xie D, Drummond AJ (2014) Tracer v1.6. http://beast.bio.ed.ac. uk/Tracer [accessed 10 june 2015]
- Ron SR, Read M (2011) Trachycephalus typhonius. In: Ron SR, Guayasamín JM, Yanez-Munoz M, Merino-Viteri A, Ortiz DA, Nicolalde DA (Eds) AmphibiaWebEcuador. Version 2014.0. Museo de Zoología, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient bayesian phylogentic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/ sys029

- Salducci MD, Marty C, Fouquet A, Gilles A (2005) Phylogenetic relationships and biodiversity in Hylids (Anura: Hylidae) from French Guiana. Comptes Rendus Biologies 328: 1009–1024. doi: 10.1016/j.crvi.2005.07.005
- Salerno PE, Ron SR, Señaris JC, Rojas-Runjaic FJM, Noonan BP, Cannatella DC (2012) Ancient Tepui summits harbor young rather than old lineages of endemic frogs. Evolution doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01666.x
- Salerno PE, Senaris JC, Rojas-Runjaic FJ, Cannatella DC (2015) Recent evolutionary history of Lost World endemics: population genetics, species delimitation, and phylogeography of sky-island treefrogs. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 82A: 314–323. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.10.020
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular Cloning: a Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York, 1626 pp.
- Savage JM (2002) The amphibians and reptiles of Costa Rica: a herpetofauna between two continents, between two seas. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 954 pp.
- Savage JM, Kubicki B (2010) A new species of fringe-limb frog, genus *Ecnomiohyla* (Anura: Hylidae), from the Atlantic slope of Costa Rica, Central America. Zootaxa 2719: 21–34.
- Venegas PJ, Gagliardi-Urrutia G (2013) Amphibians and Retpiles. In: Pitman N, Ruelas-Inzunza C, Vriesendorp C, Stotz T, Wachter A, Del campo D, Alvira B, Rodríguez-Grández RC, Smith AR, Sáenz-Rodríguez AR, Soria-Ruiz P (Eds) Ere-Campuya-Algodón. Rapid Biological and Social Inventories Report 25. The Field Museum, Chicago, 346–361.
- Vriesendorp C (2013) Panorama regional y sitios visitados. In: Pitman N, Ruelas-Inzunza C, Vriesendorp C, Stotz DF, Wachter T, Del Campo A, Alvira D, Rodríguez-Grandes B, Smith RC, Sáenz-Rodríguez AR, Soria-Ruiz P (Eds) Perú: Ere-Campuya-Algodón. Rapid Biological and Social Inventories. The Field Museum, Chicago, 65–73.
- Wiens JJ, Fetzner JW, Parkinson CL, Reeder TW (2005) Hylid frog phylogeny and sampling strategies for speciose clades. Systematic Biology 54: 778–807. J8P663588647K913 [pii] doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.03.013 10.1080/10635150500234625
- Wiens JJ, Graham CH, Moen DS, Smith SA, Reeder TW (2006) Evolutionary and ecological causes of the latitudinal diversity gradient in hylid frogs: treefrog trees unearth the roots of high tropical diversity. American Naturalist 168: 579–596. doi: 10.1086/507882:
- Wiens JJ, Kuczynski CA, Hua X, Moen DS (2010) An expanded phylogeny of treefrogs (Hylidae) based on nuclear and mitochondrial sequence data. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 55: 871–882.
- Wiens JJ, Pyron RA, Moen DS (2011) Phylogenetic origins of local-scale diversity patterns and the causes of Amazonian megadiversity. Ecology Letters 14: 643–652. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01625.x
- Zwickl DL (2006) Genetic algorithm approaches for the phylogenetic analysis of large biological sequence dataset under the maximum likelihood criterion. PhD Thesis, University of Texas, Austin.

# Supplementary material I

# Majority rule consensus for maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis based on Pyron (2014) matrix for genes 12S and 16S rRNA with additional sequences of Amazonian *Tepuihyla*

Authors: Santiago R. Ron, Pablo J. Venegas, H. Mauricio Ortega-Andrade, Giussepe Gagliardi-Urrutia, Patricia Salerno

Data type: Phylogeny

- Explanation note: The consensus was obtained from 100 non-parametric bootstrap pseudoreplicates. Bootstrap Support values are shown on nodes.
- Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

# Supplementary material 2

# **Examined** material

Authors: Santiago R. Ron, Pablo J. Venegas, H. Mauricio Ortega-Andrade, Giussepe Gagliardi-Urrutia, Patricia Salerno

Data type: Specimen and occurence data

- Explanation note: Specimens are deposited in the following institutions. Ecuador: Fundación Herpetológica Gustavo Orcés, Quito (FHGO); Museo de Zoología at Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Quito (QCAZ). Peru: Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad, Lima (CORBIDI); Colección Referencial de Biodiversidad del Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonía Peruana, Iquitos (IIAP). United States of America: American Museum of Natural History, New York, (AMNH); National Museum of Natural History, Washington DC (USNM); Natural History Museum at the University of Kansas, Lawrence (KU). United Kingdom: Natural History Museum, London (BMNH). Venezuela: Museo de Historia Natural La Salle, Caracas (MHNLS).
- Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.